

To: Patterson, Leslie[patterson.leslie@epa.gov]
Cc: Brett Fishwild[Brett.Fishwild@CH2M.com]
From: Smith, Madelyn
Sent: Mon 4/7/2014 11:13:13 AM
Subject: RE: Scardino report
Scardino phone memo 12June2012.doc
Drum Removal Information.pdf

Leslie,

I read through the reports you provided and don't see in them where they discuss removing all the drums –I have attached the memo from Laura Marshall documenting her discussion with Dave Scardino where he reveals that they left drums in the trench.

I talked to Laura Marshall more on this issue, she was directly involved in researching the hot spots and remaining drum issue on Valley Asphalt. Here's what she had to say: Ohio EPA's reason for wanting the area investigated further was because we have reason to believe there are still drums on the Valley Asphalt property. In 2000 when Ohio EPA emergency responders were called to the site, they ensured that anything that had already been excavated when they were called to the scene was properly disposed of – the reports you provided are from sampling of the stock piles of soil that were excavated and sampling of the drums that were excavated. Any debris/drums/contaminated soil remaining in the trench was left and handed off to DERR. The emergency responders did not oversee that the rest of the drums and contaminated soil within the trench were removed. There was a meeting within the department and ER handed the site off to DERR as the situation was beyond the scope of emergency response. See the attached documents for more explanation. In the meeting notes attached (taken by Mike Starkey, the current Manager of DERR in SWDO), he is outlining Valley Asphalt's steps forward as indicated by Dave Scardino during the meeting. At the end Dave is indicating that they only have about 60 ft. of the sewer line left to install but they may consider abandoning it and continuing to use the septic system. Valley Asphalt didn't determine the extent of the drums, they stopped the line when they encountered the drums, got rid of the ones they had taken out and the soil they had taken out and backfilled with clean material.

We are in agreement that TT-24 should happen. I had brought up the issue of possible drums remaining in the sewer excavation line as I stumbled upon the phone memo Laura had put together and wondered if it might be necessary to reopen the line to remove the rest of the drums that Dave said they left behind. The OU1 WP says that TT-24 will be 30 ft. long and 3 ft. wide and extend to the water table so it may be that TT-24 will cover a wide enough area. Is TT-24 on top of the old excavation sewer line? If more drums are found in TT-24, will the test trench be extended or widened?

Maddie

From: Patterson, Leslie [<mailto:patterson.leslie@epa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, April 04, 2014 12:24 PM
To: Patterson, Leslie; Smith, Madelyn
Cc: Brett Fishwild
Subject: RE: Scardino report

Maddie,

One more thing while I'm on SDDL, did you have any further thoughts about the drums in OU1?

Leslie Patterson

Remedial Project Manager

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5

Superfund Remedial Response, SR-6J

77 West Jackson Boulevard

Chicago, IL 60604

tel: (312) 886-4904

fax: (312) 692-2491

patterson.leslie@epa.gov

From: Patterson, Leslie
Sent: Wednesday, March 26, 2014 9:20 AM
To: Maddie Smith (Madelyn.Smith@epa.ohio.gov)
Cc: Brett Fishwild
Subject: Scardino report

Sorry so many emails. Here is Dave Scardino's 2000 report on the drum removal and testing; it doesn't mention additional drums. It seems like the documents we have from 2000 don't indicate that additional drums were seen, but in 2012 Scardino told a different story.

Leslie Patterson

Remedial Project Manager

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5

Superfund Remedial Response, SR-6J

77 West Jackson Boulevard

Chicago, IL 60604

tel: (312) 886-4904

fax: (312) 692-2491

patterson.leslie@epa.gov